individual floors (upto second floor and including basement) as per the permissible norms under the Master Plan for Delhi (MPD)-2021 on payment of prescribed fee.

(c) to (f) MPD-2021, notified on 7.2.2007, *inter alia,* prescribes the Development Control Norms for buildings in residential plotted housing on different size of plots in respect of maximum ground coverage, FAR and maximum height of the building as 15 metres. The permissible ground coverage, FAR, and maximum height restriction of 15 metres, subject to fulfilment of other terms and conditions, determines the number of floors which could be constructed on a given size of plot.

Within these norms prescribed in MPD 2021, plot owners/allottees seeking regularization of construction in terms of additional coverage/additional height are required to pay a penalty, compounding charges and betterment levy or additional FAR charges as well as special compounding charges at the rates notified by Government for this purpose. However, this is subject to orders of the Supreme Court on the matter. The Supreme Court in W.P. No. 4677 of 1985 in the case of M.C. Mehta *vs.* Union of India and others *vide* its Order dated 7.5.2007 directed MCD not to permit construction of additional floor. Subsequently, Supreme Court *vide* its order dated 23.7.2007, modified its earlier order dated 7.5.2001 clarifying that the prohibition *vide* orders dated 7.5.2007 related to sanctions that were being granted and not intended to cover cases where constructions were permissible on the basis of the 1990 (2001) Master plan as modified by the modifications in 1998. MPD 2021 is under consideration of Supreme Court.

Land to **schools on concessional rates**

1964. SHRI JAI PARKASH AGGARWAL: Will the MINISTER OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the details of defaulter schools that had been allotted land by Delhi Development Authority on the condition of free education but have not fulfilled the same;

(b) whether the Delhi Development Authority has taken any action against such defaulter schools which have violated this condition and which were sanctioned land on concessional rates on the basis of social purpose; and

(c) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI AJAY MAKEN): (a) to (c) Delhi Development Authority (DDA) has reported that the Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi (GNCTD) (Education Department) forwarded to DDA a list of 93 defaulter schools who did not comply with the terms of allotment of providing freeship seats to the children belonging to economically weaker sections. DDA issued show cause notices to all these defaulters.

A list of defaulter schools was again forwarded by GNCTD to the DDA for the academic session 2005-06. In the meanwhile, the matter was seized of by the High Court of Delhi in a Civil Writ. Petition No. 3156/2002 and therefore no notices were issued by DDA to these schools.

In view, of the above Court case, no list of defaulter schools was forwarded by GNCTD to DDA after 2005-2006 academic session. Delhi High Court in the above

Writ Petition has been issuing directions to GNCTD from time to time regarding the policy of freeship condition and its compliance.

Minimum wages paid by CPWD

1965., SHRI PRAVEEN RASHTRAPAL: Will the MINISTER OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the amount of minimum wage paid to unskilled workers in urban areas and unskilled workers in rural areas, State-wise;

(b) the amount of minimum wages fixed by CPWD on various projects undertaken by Government;

(c) the reasons for large variation in the amount of CPWD minimum wage and wages fixed by various State Governments; and

(d) whether there is any move by the Central Government to rationalize wage structure keeping in view the price structure of essential commodities?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI AJAY MAKEN): (a) to (d) Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha.

Repair of balconies in Government flats in Delhi

tl966. SHRI VINAY KATIYAR: Will the MINISTER OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware that balconies of Government flats in Delhi are in shabby condition;

(b) the number of such balconies especially in Netaji Nagar E-Block which require immediate repair; and

(c) the reasons therefor and the action being proposed in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI AJAY MAKEN): (a) The balconies of Government flats in Delhi can benefit from aesthetic improvement, repair and retrofitting.

(b) and (c) 105 balconies in Netaji Nagar E-Block require repairs. The work relating to repair, retrofitting and aesthetic improvements in Government colonies is an ongoing process and is being done in a phased manner subject to availability of funds.

Financial assistance for ring roads in State Capitals

1967. SHRI RAMACHANDRAIAH: Will the MINISTER OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether his Ministry is providing financial assistance to any of the State Governments to construct ring roads in their Capital cities;

---

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.